[265]

Received November 29, 1771.

XX. An Effay on the periodical Appearing and Difappearing of certain Birds, at different Times of the Year. In a Letter from the Honourable Daines Barrington, Vice-Pref. R. S. to William Watfon, M. D. F. R. S.

DEAR SIR,

Read April 2, 9, 30, and May 14, 1772. S I know, from fome converfation we have had on this head, that you confider the migration of birds as a very interesting point in natural history, I fend you the following reflections on this subject as they have occurred to me upon looking into most of the ornithologists who have written on this question.

It will be first necessary in the present, as in all other disputes, to define the terms on which the controversy arises. I therefore premise that I mean by the word Migration, a periodical passage by a whole species of birds across a considerable extent of sea.

I do not mean therefore to deny that a bird, or birds, may poffibly fly now and then from Dover to Vol. LXII. M m Calais.



Calais, from Gibraltar to Tangier, or any other fuch narrow strait, as the opposite coasts are clearly within the bird's ken, and the passage is no more adventurous than across a large fresh water lake.

I as little mean to deny that there may be a periodical flitting of certain birds from one part of a continent to another : the Royfton Crow, and Rock Ouzel, furnish inftances of fuch a regular migration.

What I mean chiefly to contend therefore is, that it feems to be highly improbable, birds fhould, at certain feafons, traverfe large tracts of fea, or rather ocean, without leaving any of the fame fpecies behind, but the fick or wounded.

As this litigated point can only receive a fatisfactory decifion from very accurate observations, all preceding naturalists, from Aristotle to Ray, have spoken with much doubt concerning it.

Soon after the appearance of Monf. Adanfon's voyage to Senegal, however, Mr. Collinfon firft, in the Philofophical Tranfactions *, and after him the moft eminent ornithologists of Europe, feem to have confidered this traveller's having caught four European Swallows on the 6th of October, not far from the African coaft, as a decifive proof, that the common fwallows, when they difappear in Europe, make for Africa during the winter, and return again to us in the fpring.

It is therefore highly incumbent upon me, who profess that I am by no means fatisfied with the account, given by Monf. Adanson of these European

* Part II. 1760, p. 459, & feq.

fwallows,

fwallows, to enter into a very minute discussion of what may, or may not, be inferred from his observation according to his own narrative.

I shall first however confider the general arguments, from which it is supposed that birds of passage periodically traverse oceans, which indeed may be almost reduced to this single one, viz. we see certain birds in particular seasons, and afterwards we see them not; from which data it is at once inferred, that the cause of their disappearance is, that they have crossed large tracts of sea.

The obvious anfwer to this is, that no well-attefted inftances can be produced of fuch a migration, as I fhall endeavour to fnew hereafter; but befides this convincing negative proof, there are not others wanting.

Those who send birds periodically across the sea, being pressed with the very obvious answer I have before suggested, have recourse to two suppositions, by which they would account for their not being observed by seamen during their passage.

The first is, that they rife fo high in the air that they become invisible *; but unfortunately the rifing to this extraordinary height, or the falling from it, is equally defitute of any ocular proof, as the birds being feen during their passage.

I have indeed converfed with fome people, who conceive they have loft fight of birds by their perpendicular flight; I must own, however, that I have

* It is well known that fome ornithologists have even fupposed that they leave our atmosphere for that of the Moon. See Harl. Misc. Vol. II, p. 561.

M m 2

always

always supposed them to be short-fighted, as I never lost the sight of a bird myself, but from its horizontal distance, and I doubt much whether any bird was ever seen to rise to a greater height than perhaps twice that of St. Paul's crois *.

There feems to be but one method indeed, by which the height of a bird in the air may be effimated; which is, by comparing its apparent fize with its known one, when very near us; and it need not be faid that method of calculating must depend entirely upon the fight of the observer, who, if he happens not to see objects well at a distance, will very foon suppose the bird to be lost in the clouds.

There is also another objection to the hypothesis of birds passing feas at such an extraordinary height, arising from the known rarefaction of the air, which may possibly be inconvenient for respiration, as well as flight; and if this was not really the case, one should suppose that birds would frequently rife to fuch uncommon elevations, when they had no occasion to traverse oceans.

* Wild geefe fly at the greateft height of any bird I ever happened to attend to; and from comparing them with rooks, which I have frequently looked at, when perched on the crofs of St. Paul's, I cannot think that a wild-goole was ever diminifhed, to my fight at leaft, more than he would be at twice the height of St. Paul's, or perhaps 300 yards. Mr. Hunter, F. R. S. informs me, that the bird which hath appeared to him as the higheft flier, is a fmall engle on the confines of Spain and Portugal', which frequents high rocks. Mr. Hunter hath firft feen this species of eagle from the bottom of a mountain, and followed it to the top, when the bird hath rifen so high as to appear lefs than he did from the bottom. Mr. Hunter however adds, that he could ftill hear the cry, and diffinguish the bird.

The

The Scotch Ptarmigan frequents the higheft ground of any British bird, and he takes but very short flights.

But it is also urged by some, that the reason why feamen do not regularly see the migration of birds, is because they choose the night, and not the day, for the passage *.

Now though it may be allowed, that pollibly birds may crois from the coaft of Holland to the Eastern coaft of England (for example) during a long night, yet it must be dark nearly as long as it is within the Arctic circle to afford time for a bird to pass from the Line to many parts of Europe, which Mons. de Bussfon calculates, may be done in about eight or nine days +.

If the paffage happened in half the nights of the year, which have the benefit of moonlight, the birds would be difcovered by the failors almost as well as in the day time; to which I must add that feveral fupposed birds of paffage (the Fieldfare in particular) always call when on their flight, fo that the feamen must be deaf as well as blind, if fuch flocks of birds escape their notice.

Other objections however remain to this hypothefis of a paffage during the night.

* Mr. Catefby fuppofes that they may thus pafs in the night time, to avoid birds of prey. Phil. Tranf. Abr. Vol. II. p. 887. But are not owls then flirring ?

On the other hand, if they migrate in the day time, kites, hawks, and other birds of prey, must be very bad fportsmen not to attend (like Arabs) these large and periodical caravans.

+ In the preface to the first volume of his lately published Ornithology, p. 32.

Ninety-

Most birds not only sleep during the night, but are as much incapacitated from distinguishing objects well as we are, in the absence of the fun: it is therefore inconceivable that they should choose owl-light for such a distant journey.

Befides this, the Eastern coast of England, to which birds of passage must necessarily first come from the continent, hath many light-houses upon it; they would therefore, in a dark night, immediately make for such an object, and destroy themselves by flying with violence against it, as is well known to every bat-fowler.

Having endeavoured to anfwer these two suppositions, by which it is contended that birds of passage may escape observation in their flight; I shall now confider all the instances I have been able to meet with of any birds being actually seen whilst they were crossing any extent of sea, though I might give a very short resultation to them, by infissing, that if this was ever experienced, it must happen as constantly in a sea, which is much navigated, as the return of the seafons.

I cannot do better than to follow these according to chronological order.

The first in point of time is that which is cited by Willoughby *, from Bellon, whose words are thus translated, "When we failed from Rhodes to "Alexandria, many quails flying from the North " towards the South, were taken in our ship, whence "I am persuaded that they shift places; for for-" merly, when I failed out of the Isle of Zant to " Morea, or Negropont, in the spring, I had ob-

* B. II. c. 11. §. 8.

* ferved

[271]

ferved quails flying the contrary way to N. and S.
that they might abide there all fummer, at which
time alfo a great many were taken in the fhip."

Let us now confider what is to be inferred from this citation.

In the first place, Bellon does not particularize the longitude and latitude of that part of the Mediterranean, which he was then croffing; and in his course from Rhodes to Alexandria, both the islands of Scarpanto and Crete could be at no great distance: these quails therefore were probably flitting from one island of the Mediteranean * to another.

The fame obfervation may be made with regard to the quails which he faw between Zant and Negropont, as the whole paffage is crouded with iflands, they therefore might be paffing from ifland to ifland, or headland to headland, which might very probably lye Eaft and Weft, fo as to occafion the birds flying in a different direction, from which they paffed the fhip before.

I have therefore no objection to this proof of migration, if it is only infifted upon to fhew that a quail fhifts its flation at certain feafons of the year; but cannot admit that it is fair from hence to argue that thefe birds periodically crofs large tracts of fea.

Bellon himfelf ftates, that when the birds fettled upon the fhip, they were taken by the first perfon who chose to catch them, and therefore they must have been unequal to the short flight which they were attempting.

* One of the Mediterranean islands is fupposed to have obtained its ancient name of Ortygia from the numbers of quails. It is very true that quails have been often pitched upon as inftances of birds that migrate across feas, because they are fearcely ever seen in winter: it is well known, however, to every sportsman, that this bird never flies 300 yards at a time, and the tail being so fhort, it is highly improbable they should be equal to a passage of any length.

We find therefore, that quails, which are commonly supposed to leave our island in the winter, in reality retire to the sea coasts, and pick up their food amongst the sea weeds *.

I have happened lately to fee a fpecimen of a particular fpecies of quail, which is defcribed by Dr. Shaw⁺, and is diffinguished from the other kinds by wanting the hind-claw.

Dr. Shaw also ftates that it is a bird of paffage. Now if quails really migrate from the coaft of Barbary to Italy, as is commonly supposed, whence can it have arisen that this remarkable species hath escaped the notice of Aldrovandus, Olina, and the other Italian ornithologists?

When I had just finished what I have here faid with regard to the migration of quails, I have had an opportunity of feeing the second volume of Mons. de Buffon's ornithology ‡; where, under this article, he contends that this bird leaves Europe in the winter.

It is incumbent upon me, therefore, either to own I am convinced by what this most ingenious and able naturalist hath urged, or to give my reasons why I

1 See p. 459, & feg.

^{*} See Br. Zool. Vol. II. p. 210. 2d Ed. octavo.

⁺ Phys. Obs. on the kingdom of Algiers, ch. 2.

[273]

still continue to diffent from the opinion he maintains.

Though M. de Buffon hath discuffed this point very much at large, yet I find only the following facts or arguments to be new.

He first cites the Memoirs of the Academy of Sciences*, for an account given by M. Godeheu of quails coming to the island of Malta in the month of May, and leaving it in September.

The first answer to this observation is, that the island of Malta is not only near to the coast of Africa, but to several of the Mediterranean islands; it therefore amounts to no more than the flitting I have before taken notice of +.

Monf. de Buffon supposes that a quail only quits one latitude for another, in order to meet with a perpetual crop on the ground.

Now can it be fuppoled that there is that difference between the harvest on the coast of Africa, and that of the small quantity of grain which grows on the rocky island of Malta, that it becomes inconvenient to the bird to stay in Africa as soon as May sets in; and necessary, on the other hand, to continue in Malta from May till September.

Monf. de Buffon then fuppofes that quails make their paffage in the night, as well as conceives them to be of a remarkably warm temperature \ddagger , and fays

* Tom. III. p. 91 and 92.

+ Both Monf. de Godeheu and M. de Buffon feem to conceive that the quail fhould fly in the fame direction as the wind blows; but birds on the wing from point to point, which-are at a confiderable diftance, fly against the wind, as their plumage is otherwife ruffled.

‡ As this is given for a reafon why the African quails migrate Northward : Q. what is to become of the Icelandis quails during the fummer?

Vol. LXII.

that

[274]

that " chaud comme une caille," is in every one's mouth *.

Now in the first place their migration during the night, is contrary to Belon's account, which M. de Buffon fo much relies upon, who expressly fays, that the birds were caught in the day time +.

In the next place, I apprehend that " chaud comme " une caille," alludes to the very remarkable falacioufnefs of this bird, and not to the conftant heat of its body.

Monf. de Buffon then obferves, that if quails are kept in a cage, they are remarkably impatient of confinement in the autumn and fpring, whence he infers that they then want to migrate \ddagger ; he alfo adds, in the fame period, that this uneafine fs begins an hour before the fun rifes, and that it continues all the night.

This great naturalist does not state this observation as having been made by himself, and it seems upon the face of it to be a very extraordinary one.

* All birds indeed are warmer by four degrees than other animals. See fome ingenious thermometrical experiments by Mr. Martin of Aberdeen, Edinb. 1771, 12mo.

+ Upon looking a fecond time into Belon, he does not indeed flate whether it was in the day or the night; but if it had happened in the latter, this traveller and ornithologift could not well have omitted fuch a circumflance. Befides this, he mentions in what direction the quails were flying, which he could not have different in the night.

[‡] It may also arife from this bird's being of fo quarrelfome a disposition, and confequently most likely to fight with its fellow prisoners when they are all in greatest vigour after moulting, and on the return of the spring.

M. de Buffon allows that they will fight for a grain of millet, and adds, " car parmi les animaux il faut un fujet reel pour fe " battre." M. de Buffon hath never been in a cockpit. No one (at leaft with us) ever keeps quails in a cage except the poulterers, who always fell them as faft as they are fat, and confequently can give no account of what happens to them during fo long an impritonment as this obfervation neceffarily implies.

No fuch remarkable uneafinefs hath ever been attended to in any other fuppofed bird of paffage during its confinement; but, allowing the fact to be as M. de Buffon states, he himself supplies us with the real cause of this impatience.

He afferts, that quails conftantly moult twice * a year, viz. at the close both of fummer and winter; whence it follows, that the bird, in autumn and the fpring, must be in full vigour upon its recovery from this periodical illnes: it can therefore as little brook confinement, as the physician's patient upon the return of health after illnes.

Thus much I have thought it neceffary to fay, in answer to M. de Buffon, who "dum errat, docet," who scarcely ever argues ill but when he is missinformed as to facts, and who often, from strength of understanding, difbelieves such intelligence as might impose upon a naturalist of less acuteness and penetration.

* I have often heard that certain birds moult twice a year, fome of which I have kept myself without their changing their feathers more than once.

I fhould fuppofe that this notion arifes from fome birds not moulting regularly in the autumn every year; and when the change takes place in the following fpring, they very commonly die: I can fcarcely think that many of them are equal to two illneffes of fo long a continuance, which are conftantly to return within twelvemonths.

I fhould therefore rather account for the extraordinary bricknels of a quail in autumn and the foring, from its recovery after moulting in the former, and from the known effects of the foring as to most animals in the latter. The next inftance of a bird being caught at any diftance from land, is in Sir Hans Sloane's voyage to Jamaica, who fays, that a lark was taken in the fhip 40 leagues from the fhore: this therefore was certainly an unfortunate bird, forced out to fea by a ftrong wind in flying from headland to headland, as no one fuppofes the fkylark to be a bird of paffage.

The fame answer may be given to a yellow-hammer's fettling upon Hasselquist's ship in the entrance of the Mediterranean, with this difference, that either the European or African coast must have been much nearer than 40 leagues *.

The next fact to be confidered is what is mentioned in a letter of Mr. Peter Collinson's, printed in the Philosophical Transactions +.

He there fays, "That Sir Charles Wager had "frequently informed him, that in one of his "voyages home in the fpring as he came into foundings in our channel, that a great flock of fwallows almost covered his rigging, that they were nearly fpent and famished, and were only feathers and bones; but being recruited by a night's rest, they "took their flight in the morning."

The first answer to this is, that if these were birds which had croffed large tracts of sea in their periodical migrations, the same accident must happen eternally, both in the spring and autumn, which is not however pretended by any one.

In the next place, the fwallows are flated to be fpent both by famine and fatigue; and how were they to procure any flies or other fuftenance on the

+ 1760. Part II. p. 461.

3

rigging

^{*} See Haffelquift's Travels, in princ.

rigging of the admiral's ship, though they migth indeed rest themselves?

Sir Charles, however, express informs us, that he was in the channel, and within foundings: these birds, therefore (like Bellon's quails) were only passing probably from headland to headland; and being forced out by a strong wind, were obliged to settle upon the first ship they faw, or otherwise must have dropped into the set, which I make no doubt happens to many unfortunate birds under the same circumstances.

As the birds which thus fettled upon Sir Charles Wager's rigging were fwallows, it very naturally brings me now to confider the celebrated obfervation of Monf. Adanfon, under all its circumftances, as it hath been fo much relied upon, and by naturalifts of fo great eminence.

Monf. Adanfon is a very ingenious writer, and the publick is much indebted to him for many of the remarks which he made whilft he refided in Senegal.

I may, however, I think, prefume to fay, that he had not before his voyage made ornithology his particular fludy; proofs of which are not wanting in other parts of his work, which do not relate to fwallows.

For example, he fuppofes, that the Canary birds which are bred in Europe are white, and that they become fo by our climate's being more cold than that of Africa.

" J'ai remarqué que le ferin qui devient tout blanc en France, est a Teneriffe d'un gris presque aussi foncé que celui de la linotte; ce changement de couleur provient vraisemblablement de la froidure de notre climat *."

* Voyage au Senegal, p. 13.

Mr. Adanfon in this paffage feems to have deduced two falfe inferences from having feen a few white Canary birds in France, which he afterwards compares with those of Teneriff, and supposes the change of colour to arise merely from alteration of climate : it is known, however, almost to every one, that there is an infinite variety in the plumage of the European Canary birds, which, as in poultry, arises from their being pampered with so much food, as well as confinement *.

Monf. Adanson, in another part of his voyage +, defcribes a Roller, which he supposes to migrate fometimes to the Southern parts of Europe.

This circumftance flews that he could not have looked much into books of natural hiftory, becaufe the principal fynonym of this bird is garrulus Argentoratenfis \ddagger ; and Linnæus informs us that it is found even in Sweden ||.

* In the fame passage, he compares the colour of the African Canary bird to that of the European linnet, and fays it is d'un gris prefque auffi foncé, whereas the European linnet is well known to be brown, and not grey. The linnet affords a very decifive proof that the change of plumage does not arise from the difference of climate, but the two causes I have affigned. The cock bird, whilst at liberty, hath a red breast: yet if it is either bred up in a cage from the ness, or is caught with its red plumage, and afterwards moults in the house, it never recovers the red feathers.

That most able naturalist, Mons. de Buffon, from having feen some cock linnets which had thus moulted off, or perhaps fome hen linnets (which have not a red breast) confiders them as a distinct species, and compares their breeding together in an aviary, to that of the Canary bird and goldfinch. Ornith. p. XXII.

+ P. 16. ‡ Or of Strafburgh.

|| Faun. Suec. 94.

The firong characteristic mark of this bird, is the outermost feathers of the tail, which able naturalists defcribe as three fourths of an inch longer than the reft*. Monf. Adanson, however, compares their length, not with the other feathers of the tail, but with the length of the bird's body, which is by no means the natural or proper standard of comparison.

The reason of my taking notice of these more minute inaccuracies in Monf. Adanson's account of birds, arises from Mr. Collinson's relying upon his observations with regard to swallows being so absolutely decisive, because he is represented to be so able a naturalist.

I shall now state (very minutely) under what circumstances these swallows were caught, and what seems to be the true inference from his own account.

He informs us, that four fwallows fettled upon the fhip, not 50 leagues from the coaft of Senegal, on the 6th of October; that thefe birds were taken, and that he knew them to be the true fwallow of Europe+, which he fuppofes were then returning to the coaft of Africa.

I shall now endeavour to shew that these birds could not be European swallows; nor, if they were, could they have been on their return from Europe to Africa.

* Willoughby, p. 131. Br. Zool. Vol. II. in append.

+ I have before endeavoured to fhew that Monf. Adanfon does not always recollect with accuracy the plumage of the moft common European birds, by what he fays with regard to the linnet. The word *birondelle*, in French, is used as a general term for the four * species of these birds, as the term fwallow is with us.

Now the four fwallows thus caught and examined by Monf. Adanfon were either all of the fame species, or intermixed in some other proportion.

Would not then any naturalist in stating fo material a fact (as he himself supposes it to be) have particularized of what species of swallow these very interesting birds were?

Should not Monf. Adanfon alfo have taken care to diftinguish these supposed European swallows from two species of the same tribe, which bear a general refemblance to those of Europe, and are not only described, but engraved by Brisson, under the name of *Hirondelle de Senegal & Hirondelle de rivage du* Senegal +?

Though Monf. Adanfon was above a year on this part of the African coaft, paid fo much attention to fwallows, and was fo immediately acquainted with the different fpecies on the first inspection, yet he feems never to have discovered that there were fuch African swallows as are thus described and engraved by Briffon, though he must have seen them daily.

Monf. Adanfon however concludes his account of the fuppofed European fwallow, whilft it continues on the coaft of Senegal, by a circumftance which

* Viz. the fwallow $\kappa \alpha^{\gamma}$ $\xi_{\alpha} \chi_{n\nu}$, the martin, the fand martin, and the fwift: I omit the goatfucker, because this bird, though properly classed as a species of fwallow by ornithologists, is not so confidered by others.

+ See Briffon, Tom. II. pl. xiv.

feems

feems to prove to demonstration of what species the four swallows caught in the ship really were.

He fays that they rooft on the fand either by themfelves, or at most only in pairs, and that they frequent the coast much more than the inland parts *.

These swallows therefore, if they came from Europe, must have immediately changed at once their known habits: and is it not consequently most clear that they were of that species which Brisson describes under the name of *Hirondelle de rivage du Senegal*?

But though it fhould be admitted, notwithftanding what I have infifted upon, from Monf. Adanfon's own account, that thefe were really fwallows of the fame kind with those of Europe; yet I must still contend that they could not possibly have been on their return from Europe to Africa, because the high road for a bird from the most Western point of Europe to Senegal, is along the N. West coast of Africa, which projects greatly to the Westward of any part of Europe.

What then could be the inducement to these four fwallows to fly 50 leagues to the Westward of the coast of Senegal, so much out of the proper direction?

It feems to me therefore, very clear, that thefe fwallows (whether of the European kind or not) were flitting from the cape de Verde islands to the

* Voyage au Senegal, p. 67. I wifh Monf. Adanfon had alfo informed us whether these twallows had the same notes with those of Europe, which is a very material circumstance in the natural history of birds, though little attended to by most errithologists.

Vol. LXII.

coaft

coaft of Africa, to which fhort flight, however, they were unequal, and were obliged from fatigue to fall into the failors hands.

Monf. Adanfon likewife mentions * that the fhip's company caught a Roller on the 26th of April, which he fuppofes was on its paffage to Europe, though he was then within fight of the coaft of Senegal : this bird, however, must be admitted not to have had fufficient ftrength to reach the first ftage of this round-about journey, and was therefore probably forced out to fea by a ftrong wind, in passing from head-land to head-land.

But I must not difmis what hath been observed with regard to the swallows seen by Mons. Adanson at Senegal, without endeavouring also to answer what M. de Buffon hath not only inferred from it, but hath endeavoured to confirm by an actual experiment +.

M. de Buffon, from the many inftances of fwallows being found torpid even under water, very readily admits, that all the birds of this genus do not migrate, but only that species which was seen by Monf. Adanson in Africa, and which he generally refers to as the chimney swallow ‡; but from the outset, seems

* Voyage au Senegal, p. 15.

+ See the two prefatory discourses to his fixteenth volume of natural history.

[‡] So little do naturalist know of this very common bird, that I believe it hath never yet been observed by any writer, that the male swallow hath only the long stender feathers in the tail, which are confidered as its most distinguishing marks. I venture to make this remark upon having seen the difference in two swallows which are in Mr. Tunstall's collection, F. R. S. as also in two others, which have lately been prefented to the Museum

[283]

to fhew that he bath himfelf confounded this fpecies with the martin.

" Prenons un feul oifeau, par exemple, l'hirondelle, celle que tout le monde connoit, qui paroit au printems, disparoit en automne, & fait son nid avec de la terre contre les fenetres, ou dans les cheminees." p. 23.

It is very clear that the defign in this period is to fpecify a particular bird in fuch a manner that no doubt could remain with any one about the fpecies referred to; and from other paffages which follow, it is as clear that Monf. de Buffon means to allude to the fwallow $x\alpha \tau' \epsilon \xi_0 \chi \eta \nu$.

Though this was certainly the intention of this most ingenious naturalist, it is to me very evident that the martin, and not the fwallow, was in his contemplation, because he first speaks of the bird's building against windows, before he mentions chimneys, and therefore supposes that either place is indifferent; which is not the case, because the swallow feldom builds on the fides of windows, or the martin in chimneys.

There are perhaps three or four martins to one fwallow in all parts; and from their being the more common bird of the two, as well as from the circumftance of their building at the corner of windows (and confequently being eternally in our fight), nine-

of the Royal Society, by the directors of the Hudson's Bay company.

These long feathers would be very inconvenient to the hen during incubation; and they are likewise confined to the cock widow-bird, as, from their more extraordinary length, they would be ftill more fo. teen out of twenty, when they fpeak of a fwallow, really mean a martin *.

I only take notice of this fuppofed inacuracy in Monf. de Buffon, becaufe, if that able naturalift does not fpeak of the different forts of fwallows with that precifion which is neceffary upon fuch an occafion, why fhould he rely fo intirely upon the impoffibility of Monf. Adanfon's being miftaken?

I shall now state the experiment of Monf. de Buffon, to prove that the swallow is not torpid in the winter, and must therefore migrate to the coast of Senegal +.

He fhut up fome fwallows (birondelles) in an ice houfe, which were there confined " plus ou moins " de temps;" and the confequence was, that thofe which remained there the longeft died, nor could they be revived by exposing them to the fun; and, that those " qui n'avoient fouffert le froid de la " glaciere que pendant peu de tems" were very lively when permitted to make their escape.

* In the fame manner the generical name in other languages, for this tribe of birds, always means the martin, and not the fwallow.

Thus Anacreon complains of the χελιδων for waking him by its twittering.

Now if it be confidered that there was only the kitchen chimney in a Grecian houfe, it must have been the martin which built under the eaves of the window, that was troublefome to Anacreon, and not the fwallow.

Ovid also speaking of the neft of the hirunda, fays,

----- luteum fub trabe figit opus.

by which he neceffarily alludes to the martin, and not the fwallow.

† Plan de l'ouvrage, p. 15.

Monf.

Monf. de Buffon does not, in this account of his experiment, state the time during which the birds were confined; but as the trial must have been made in France, the swallows which he procured could not be expected to be torpid either in an ice-house * or any other place, because the season for their being in that state was not yet arrived.

I cannot also agree with M. de Buffon that those birds which were shut up the longest time died through cold, as he suppose, but for want of food, as he neither supplied them with any flies, nor, if he had, could the swallows have caught them in the dark : a very short fast kills these tender animals, which are feeding every instant when on the wing.

It therefore feems not to follow from this, or any other experiment, that fwallows must necessfarily migrate (as Monf. de Buffon supposes) to the coast of Senegal.

* The very name of an ice-house almost firikes one with a chill; I placed, however, a thermometer in one near Hyde Park Corner, on the 23d of November, where it continued 48 hours, and the mercury then stood at $43\frac{1}{2}$ by Fahrenheit's scale.

This is therefore a degree of cold which fwallows fometimes experience whilft they continue in fome parts of Europe, without any apparent inconvenience; and it fhould feem that the cold vapours which may arife from the included ice, fink the thermometer only 7 or 8 degrees, as the temperature in approved cellars is commonly from 50 or 51 throughout the year.

Sir William Hamilton informs me, that he hath frequently feen fwallows in the winter between Naples and Puzzuoli, when the weather was warm; as does Mr. Hunter, F. R. S. that he hath obferved them during the fame feason, on the confines of Spain and Portugal. It should feem from this, that very mild and warm weather for any continuance always wakes these birds from their state of torpidity.

Swallows

Swallows are feen during the fummer, in every part of Europe from Lapland to the Southern coaft of Spain; nor is Europe vaftly inferior in point of fize to Africa.

If fwallows therefore retreat to Africa in the winter, fhould not they be difperfed over the whole Continent of Africa, just as they are over every part of Europe?

But this most certainly is not fo: Dr. Shaw, who was a very good naturalist and attended much to the birds in the neighbourhood of Algiers (as appears by his account of that country), makes no mention of any fuch circumstance, nor have we heard of it from any other traveller *.

It must be admitted indeed, that Herodotus speaking of a part of upper Egypt (which he had never seen) fays, that kites and swallows never leave it +; this, however, totally differs from Monf. Adanson's account, who informs us that they disappear in Senegal on the approach of summer.

It feems to follow therefore, from this filence in others, that fwallows cannot be accommodated for their winter refidence in any part of that vaft continent, but in the neighbourhood of Senegal.

But this is not the whole objection to fuch an hypothesis.

* It may also be observed here, that credit is in some measure given to M. Adanson's eyelight, against that of all the English, French, Dutch, Portugueze, and Danes, who have been settled not far from Senegal for above a century, many of which have spent the greatest part of their lives there, and whose notice, swallows seen during the winter, must have probably attracted.

+ Ixlivoi de και χελιδονες di eleos εονίες εκ απολειπεσι. Euterpe, p. 98. ed. Gale. If the fwallows of Europe, when they difappear in those parts, retreat to the coast of Senegal, what neceffarily follows with regard to a Lapland fwallow?

I will fuppose fuch a bird to have arrived fafely at his winter quarters upon the approach of that feason in Lapland; but he must then, according both to Monf. Adanson's and de Buffon's account, return to Lapland in the spring, or at least some other swallow from Senegal fill his place *.

Such a bird immediately upon its arrival on the Southern coaft of Spain would find the climate and food which it defired to attain, and all proper conveniences for its neft: what then is to be its inducement for quitting all these accommodations which it meets with in such profusion, and pushing on immediately over so many degrees of European continent to Lapland, where both martin and swallow can procure fo few eaves of houses to build upon? What also is to be the inducement to these birds, when they have arrived at that part of the Norwegian coast which is opposite to the Ferroe islands, to cross degrees of fea, in order

* Mr. Stephens, A. S. S. informs me, that there was a neft of martins for twenty years together in the hall of his house in Somersetschire (near Bath); nor could the old birds procure food either for themselves, or their young, till the door was opened in the morning.

Can it it be fuppofed that the fame birds or their defcendants could have fo long fixed upon fo very inconvenient a fpot, to which they conftantly returned from the coaft of Africa, neglecting fo many others, which they must have always passed by? Does it not also afford a most strong prefumption, that they were torpid during winter in the neighbourhood of this old hall ? to build in fuch finall fpots of land, where there are fill fewer houses?

The next fact I have happened to meet with of a bird's being feen at a confiderable diffance from the fhore, is in Mr. Forfter's lately published translation of Kalm's account of N. America*.

We are there informed that a bird (which Kalm calls a fwallow) was feen near the fhip on the 2d of September, and, as he supposes, 20 degrees from the continent of America +.

It appears however, by what he before states in his journal, that the ship was not above 5 degrees from the island of Sable.

Befides, if it is contended that this was an European fwallow on its paffage acrofs the Atlantic on the 2d of September, it is too early even for a fwift, to have been on its migration, which difappears with us fooner than the three other fpecies of European fwallows \ddagger .

Only two more inftances have occurred of birds being feen in open fea that have been defcribed

* Vol. I. p. 24.

+ It may not be improper here to obferve, that in all inflances of birds being feen at fea any great diffance from the coaft, it is not improbable that they may have before fettled on fome other veffel, or perhaps on a piece of floating wreck.

By accidents of this fort, even butterflies have fometimes been caught by the failors at 40 leagues diftance from any land. See Monf. l'Abbé Courte de la Blanchadiere's Voyage to Brazil, Paris, 1759, 21mo. p. 169.

 \ddagger The bird mentioned by Kalm was probably an American fwallow, forced out to fea by fome accidental florm : there are feveral fpe ies of them and they feem to bear a general affinity to those of Europe.

with

with any fort of precifion, which I shall juft state, as I would not decline giving the best answer I am able to every argument and fact which may be relied upon, by those who contend that birds periodically migrate across oceans.

On the 30th of March, 1751, Ofbeck, in his voyage from Sweden to China *, met with a fingle houfe fwallow near the Canary Islands, which was fo tired that it was caught by the failors: Ofbeck alfo ftates, that though it had been fine weather for feveral preceding days, the bird was as wet as if it had just emerged from the bottom of the fea.

If this inftance proves any thing, it is the fubmerfion and not the migration of fwallows fo generally believed in all the northern parts of Europe. It would fwell this Letter to a most unreasonable fize, to touch only upon this litigated point; and I shall, for the prefent, suppress what hath happened to occur to me on this controverted question +.

* See the lately published translation of this voyage.

+ I will, however, mention one most decisive fact on this head.

Mr. Stephens, A. S. S. informs me, that, when he was fourteen years of age, a pond of his father's (who was vicar of Shrivenham in Berkfhire) was cleaned, during the month of February; that he picked up himfelf a clufter of three or four fwallows (or martins), which were caked together in the mud, and that he carried them into the kitchen, on which they foon afterwards flew about the room, in the prefence of his father, mother, and others. Mr. Stephens alfo told me, that his father (who was a naturalift) obferved at the time, he had read of fimilar inftances in the northern write's. This fact is alfo confirmed to me by the Reverend Dr. Pye, who was then at fchool in Shrivenham, as alfo by a very fenfible land-furveyor, who now lives in the village.

VOL. LXII.

Ofbeck

Ofbeck afterwards, in the courfe of his voyage, mentions, that a fwallow (indefinitely) followed the fhip, near Java, on the 24th of July, and another on the 14th of August, in the Chinese sea, as he terms it.

After what I have obferved before with regard to other inftances of the fame fort, I need fearcely fay that this naturalift does not ftate of what fpecies thefe fwallows were; and that, from the latitudes in which they were feen, they must have been fome of the Afiatic kinds.

I cannot, however, difmifs this article of the fwallow, without adding fome general reafons, which feem to prove the great improbability of this or any other bird's periodically migrating over wide tracts of fea; and I the rather do it in this place, becaufe

There are feveral reafons why fwallows should not be frequently thus found; ponds are feldom cleaned in the winter, as it is fuch cold work for the labourers; and the fame inftinct which prompts the bird thus to conceal itfelf, inftructs it to choose fuch a place of fecurity, that common accidents will not difcover it.

But the firongeft reason for such accounts not being more numerous, is, that facts of this fort are so little attended to; for though I was born within half a mile of this pond, and have always had much curiosity with regard to such facts, yet I never heard a syllable about this very material and interesting account, till very lately.

To this fact I muft also add, that fwallows may be conflantly taken in the month of October, during the dark nights, whilf they fit on the willows in the Thames, and that one may almost inftantaneously fill a large fack with them, because at this time they will not fir from the twigs, when you lay your hands upon them. This looks very much like their beginning to be torpid before they hide themselves under the water.

A man near Brentford fays, that he hath caught them in this ftate in the eyt opposite to that town, even so late as November.

the

the fwallow is commonly pitched upon as the most notorious instance of such a regular passage.

This feems to arife first from its being feen in fuch numbers during the fummer, from its appearing almost always on the wing, and from its feeding in that position; from which two latter circumstances it is supposed to be the best adapted for such distant migrations.

And first, let us confider, from the few facts or reasons we have to argue from, what length of flight either a swallow or any other bird is probably equal to.

A fwallow, it is true, feems to be always on the wing; but I have frequently attended, as much as I could, on a particular one; and it hath appeared to me, that the bird commonly returned to its neft in eight or ten minutes: as for extent of flight, I believe I may venture to fay, that thefe birds are feldom a quarter of mile from their mate or young ones; they feed whilft on the wing, and are perpetually turning thort round to catch the infects, who endeavour to elude them as a hare does a greyhound.

It therefore feems to me, that fwallows are by no means equal to long flights, from their practice during their fummer refidence with us.

I have long attended to the flight of birds; and it hath always appeared to me, that they are never on the wing for amufement (as we walk or ride), but merely in fearch of food.

The only bird which I have ever observed to fly without any particular point of direction, is the rook: these birds will, when the wind is high,

[292]

" Ride in the whirlwind, and enjoy the ftorm."

They never fly, however, at this time, from point to point, but only tumble in the air, merely for their diversion.

It feems, therefore, that birds are by no means calculated for flights acrofs oceans, for which they have no previous practice: and they are, in fact, always fo fatigued, that, when they meet a fhip at fea, they forget all apprehensions, and deliver themselves up to the failors.

Let us now confider another objection to the migration of the fwallow, which Monf. de Buffon fuppofes may crofs the Atlantic to the Line in eight days *; and this not only from the want of reft, but of food, during the paffage.

A fwallow, indeed, feeds on the wing: but where is it to find any infects, whilft it is flying over a wide expanse of fea? This bird, therefore, if it ever attempted so adventurous a passage, would soon feel a want of food, and return again to land, where it had met with a constant supply from minute to minute.

I am aware it may be here objected, that the fwallow leaves us on the approach of winter, when foon no flying infects can be procured: but I shall hereafter endeavour to shew, that these birds are then torpid, and, consequently, can want no such food.

Another objection remains to the hypothesis of migration, which is, that birds, when flying from

* Discours sur la nature des oiseaux, p. 32.

point

point to point, endeavour always to have the wind against them *, as is periodically experienced by the London bird-catchers, in March and October, when they lay their nets for finging birds +.

The reason, probably, for birds thus flying against the wind is, that their plumage may not be ruffled, which indeed I have before had occasion to mention.

Let us suppose, then, a swallow to be equal to a paffage across the Atlantic in other respects; how is the bird to be insured of the wind's continuing for days in the same quarter; or how is he to depend upon its continuing to blow against his flight with moderation? for who can suppose that a swallow can make his way to the point of direction, when buffeted by a storm blowing in the teeth of his intended passage \$\$?

Laftly, can it be conceived that thefe, or any other birds, can be impelled by a providential inflinct, regularly to attempt what feems to be attended with fuch infuperable difficulties, and what most frequently leads to certain destruction?

But it will ftill be objected, that as fwallows regularly appear and difappear at certain feafons, it is incumbent upon those who deny their migration, to

* Kalm, in his voyage to America, makes the fame obfervation, with regard to flying fifh, and Valentine fays, that if the wind does not continue to blow against the bird of paradife, it immediately drops to the ground.

+ These birds, as it should seem, are then in motion; because, at those seasons, the ground is plowed either for the winter or lent corn.

‡ I have myfelf attended to fwallows during a high wind, and have observed that they fly only in fheltered places, whilft they almost touch the furface of the ground.

fhew

shew what becomes of them in Europe during our winter.

Though it might be anfwered, that it is not neceffary, those who endeavour to shew the impossibility of another system or hypothesis, should from thence be obliged to set up one of their own; yet I shall, without any difficulty, say, that I at least am convinced swallows (and perhaps some other birds) are torpid during the winter.

I have not, I must own, myself ever seen them in this state; but, having heard instances of their being thus found, from others of undoubted veracity, I have not scarcely the least doubt with regard to this point.

It is, indeed, rather difficult to conceive why fome ornithologifts continue to withhold their affents to fuch a cloud of witneffes, except that it perhaps contradicts a favourite hypothefis which they have already maintained.

Why is it more extraordinary that fwallows fhould be torpid during the winter, than that bats are found in this state, and so many infects, which are the food of swallows?

But it may be faid, that as the fwallows have crowded the air during the fummer, in every part of Europe fince the creation, and as regularly difappear in winter, why have not the inftances of their being found in a torpid ftate been more frequent?

To this it may be anfwered, that though our globe may have been formed fo many centuries, yet the inhabitants of it have fearcely paid any attention to the ftudy of natural hiftory, but within these late years. As for the ancient Greeks and Romans, their drefs prevented their being fo much in the fields as we are; or, if they heard of a rather extraordinary bird in their neighbourhood, they had not a gun to fhoot it: the only method of attaining real knowledge in natural hiftory, depends almost entirely upon the having frequent opportunities of thus killing animals, and examining them when dead.

If they did not ftir much in their own country, much lefs did they think of travelling into diftant regions; want of bills of exchange, and of that curiofity which arifes from our being thoroughly acquainted with what is near us at home, probably occafioned this; to which may alfo be added, the want of a variety of languages: fcarcely any Greek feems to have known more than his own tongue, nor Roman more than two *.

Aristotle, indeed, began something like a system of natural history, and Pliny put down, in his common place-book, many an idle story; but, before the invention of printing, copies of their works could not be so generally dispersed, as to occasion much attention to what might be interesting facts for the natural historian.

In the fixteenth century, Gefner, Belon, and Aldrovandus, published some materials, which might be of use to future naturalists; but, in the seventeenth, Ray and Willoughy first treated this extensive branch of study, with that clearness of method,

* It need be fcarcely here mentioned also, that their navigation was confined to the Mediteranean, from the compass not having been then discovered.

perspicuity

perfpicuity of description, and accuracy of observation, as hath not, perhaps, been fince exceeded.

The works of these great naturalists were soon dispersed over Europe, and the merit of them acknowledged; but it so happened, that Sir Isaac Newton's amazing discoveries in natural philosophy making their appearance about the same time, engaged entirely the attention of the learned.

In process of time, all controversy was filenced by the demonstration of the Newtonian system; and then the philosophical part of Europe naturally turned their thoughts to other branches of science.

Since this period, therefore, and not before, natural hiftory hath been ftudied in most countries of Europe; and confequently, the finding swallows in a ftate of torpidity, or on the coast of Senegal, during the winter, begins to be an interesting fact, which is communicated to the world by the person who observes it.

To this I may add, that the common labourers, who have the best chance of finding torpid birds, have fcarcely any of them a doubt with regard to this point; and confequently, when they happen to fee them in this flate, make no mention of it to others; because they confider the discovery as neither uncommon or interesting to any one.

Molyneux, therefore, in the Philosophical Tanfactions *, informs us, that this is the general belief of the common people of Ireland, with regard to land-rails; and I have myself received the fame answer from a person who, in December, found fwallows torpid in the stump of an old tree.

* Phil. Tranf. abr. Vol. II. p. 853.

Another

Another reason why the inftances of torpid fwallows may not be expected to frequently, is, that the inftinct of fecreting themselves at the proper season of the year, likewise suggests to them, it's being necessary to hide themselves in such holes and caverns, as may not only elude the search of man, but of every other animal which might prey upon them; it is not therefore by any common accident that they are ever discovered in a state of torpidity.

Since the fludy of natural hiftory, however, hath become more general, proofs of this fact are frequently communicated, as may appear in the British Zoology *.

That it may not be faid, however, I do not refer to any inftance which deferves credit, if properly fifted, I beg leave to cite the letter from Mr. Achard to Mr. Collinfon, printed in the Philofophical Tranfactions +, from whence it feems to be a most irrefragable fact, that fwallows \ddagger are annually difcovered in a torpid state on the banks of the Rhine. I shall also refer to Dr. Birch's History of the Royal Society ||, where it is stated, that the celebrated Harvey diffected

* See Vol. II. p. 250. Brit. Zool. ill. p. 13, 14. As alfo' Mr. Pennant's Tour in Scotland, p. 199.

+ 1763, p. 101.

‡ " Swallows or martins," are Mr. Achard's words, which I the rather mention, because Mr. Collinson complains that the species is not specified.

Mr. Collinfon himfelf had endeavoured to prove, that fand martins are not torpid, Phil. Tranf. 1760, p. 109. and concludes his letter, by fuppofing that all the fwallow tribe migrates, therefore the fwift is the only species remaining; for his friend Mr. Achard shews to demonstration, that swallows or martins are torpid; he does not, indeed, precifely state which of them.

|| Vol. IV. p. 537.

Vol. LXII.

fome,

fome, which were found in the winter, under water, and in which he could not observe any circulation of the blood *.

Affuming it, therefore, from these facts, that fwallows have been found in such a state, I would ask the partisans of migration, whether any instance can be produced where the same animal is calculated for a state of torpidity and, at the same time of the year, for a stight across oceans?

But it may be urged, poffibly, that if fwallows are torpid when they difappear, the fame thing fhould happen with regard to other birds, which are not feen in particular parts of the year.

To this I answer, that this is by no means a neceffary inference: if, for example, it should be infissed that other birds besides the cuckow are equally careless with regard to their eggs, it would be immediately allowed that the argument arising from

* As the fwallows were found in the winter, they must have been in a state of torpidity, as otherwise the animals must have been putrid.

I fhall likewife here refer to Phil. Tranf. abr. Vol. V. p. 33. where Mr. Derham fays, that he heard a fwift fqueak in an hole of his houfe on the 17th of April; but that, the weather being cold, it did not flir abroad for feveral days.

This feems to be a ftrong inftance of a bird's first waking from a state of torpidity, but refuming its sleep on the weather being severe.

I shall close the proofs on this head (which I could much enlarge) by the eignified testimony of Sigismond, King of Poland, who affirmed on his oath, to the cardinal Commendon, that he had frequently seen swallows, which were found at the bottom of lakes. See the life of cardinal Commendon, p. 211. Paris, 1671. 4to.

fuch

fuch fuppofed analogy could by no means be relied upon *.

It is poffible, however, that fome other birds, which are conceived to migrate, may be really torpid as well as swallows; and if it be asked why they are not fometimes alfo feen in fuch a state during the winter, the answer seems to be, that perhaps there may be a thousand swallows to any other fort of bird, and that they commonly are found torpid in clufters.

* I here suppose the common notion about the cuckow to be true; because both learned and ignorant feem equally to agree in the fact.

During the prefent fummer, however, a girl brought a full feathered young cuckow to a gentleman's house, where I happened to be, who faid, that it had been for feveral days before fed by another bird of equal fize with itfelf; which therefore could not be a hedge-sparrow, or other small bird, but the parent cuckow.

I have also lately been favoured, by Mr. Pennant, with the following extract from a manufcript of Derham's on inftinct.

" The Rev. Mr. Stafford was walking in Gloffop-dale in the " Peak of Derbyshire, and faw a cuckow rife from its neft, " which was on the flump of a tree, that had been some time " felled, fo as much to refemble the colour of the bird. In " this neft were two young cuckows, one of which he " fastened to the ground, by means of a peg and line, and very " frequently, for many days, beheld the old cuckow feed thefe " her young ones."

It is not impoffible, therefore, that this most general opinion will turn out like the supposed effects of the venom of the tarantula; and, indeed, it is difficult to conceive how fo fmall a bird as a hedge-sparrow can feed a cuckow : it is also remarkable, that the witneffes often vary about the fpecies of fmall bird thus employed.

It is poffible, however, that the cuckow (though it may not hatch its young) may feed them, when grown too large for the foster parent.

If

If a fingle bird of any other kind happens to be feen in the winter, without motion or apparent warmth, it is immediately conceived that it died by fome common accident.

I shall, however, without any referve, fay, that I rather conceive the notion which prevails with regard to the migration of many birds, may most commonly arife from the want of observation, and ready knowledge of them, when they are seen on the wing, even by professed ornithologifts.

It is an old faying, that " a bird in the hand is " worth two in the bufh;" and this holds equally with regard to their being diftinguished, when those even who fludy natural history, have but a transient fight of the animal *.

If, therefore, a bird, which is fuppofed to migrate in the winter, paffes almost under the nose of a Linnæan, he pays but little attention to it, because he cannot examine the beak, by which he is to class the bird. Thus I conceive, that the supposing a nightingale to be a bird of passage arises from not readily diftinguishing it, when seen in a hedge, or on the wing +.

This bird is known to the ear of every one, by its most striking and capital notes, but to the eye of very

* An ingenious friend of mine makes always a very proper diffinction between what he calls in-door and out-door naturalifts.

Thomas Willifel, who affifted Ray and Willughby much with regard to the natural hiftory of the animals of this ifland, never flirred any where without his gun and fifting-tackle.

+ No two birds fly in the fame manner, if their motions are accurately attended to.

few indeed; because the plumage is dull, nor is there any thing peculiar in its make.

The nightingale fings perhaps for two months *, and then is never heard again till the return of the fpring, when it is fuppofed to migrate to us from the continent, with redftarts, and feveral other birds.

That it cannot really do fo, feems highly probable, from the following reafons.

This bird is fcarcely ever feen to fly above twenty yards, but creeps at the bottom of the hedges, in fearch of maggots, and other infects, which are found in the ground.

If the fwallow is not fupplied with any food during its paffage across oceans, much less can the nightingale be to accommodated; and I have great reason to believe, from the death of birds in a cage, which have had nothing to eat for twenty-four hours, that these delicate and tender animals cannot support a longer fast, though using no exercise at all.

To this I may also add, that those birds which feed on infects are vaftly more feeble than those whose bills can crack feed, and confequently, less capable of bearing any extraordinary hardships or fatigue.

But other proofs are not wanting, that this bird cannot migrate from England.

* Whilft it fings even, the bird can feldom be diffinguished, because it is then almost perpetually in hedges, when the foliage is thickes, upon the first burst of the spring, and when no infects can as yet have destroyed confiderable parts of the leaves.

Nightin-

Nightingales are very common in Denmark, Sweden, and Ruffia *, as also in every other part of Europe, as well as Asia, if the Arabic name is properly translated.

Now, if it is fuppofed that many of thefe birds which are obferved in the fouthern parts of England, crofs the German fea, from the oppofite coaft of the continent; why does not the fame inftinct drive thofe of Denmark to Scotland, where no fuch bird was ever feen or heard +?

But these are not all the difficulties which attend the hypothesis of migration; nightingales are agreed to be scarcely ever observed to the westward of Dorfetshire, or in the principality of Wales ‡, much less in Ireland.

I have also been informed, that these birds are not uncommon in Worcesterschire, whereas they are excessively rare (if found at all) in the neighbouring county of Hereford.

Whence, therefore, can it arife, that this bird fhould at one time be equal to the croffing of feas, and at other times not travel a mile or two into an adjacent county? Does it not afford, on the other hand, a ftrong proof, that the bird really continues

* See Dr. Birch's Hiftory of the Royal Society, Vol. III. p. 189. Linnæi Fauna Suecica. and Biographia Britannica, art. FLETCHER; where it is faid, that they have in Russia a greater variety of notes than elsewhere.

+ Sir Robert Sibbald, indeed, conceives the nightingale to be a bird of North Britain; but, if I can depend upon many concurrent teftimonies, no fuch bird is ever feen or heard fo far northward at prefent, nor could I ever trace them in that direction further than Durham.

‡ I have, however, frequently feen the nightingale's congener (and fuppofed fellow-traveller) the redftart in Wales. on the fame fpot during the whole year, but happens not to be attended to, from the reafons I have before fuggefted?

I am therefore convinced, that if I was ever to live in the country during the winter, I fhould fee nightingales, becaufe I fhould be looking after them, and I am accordingly informed, by a perfon who is well acquainted with this bird, that he hath frequently obferved them during this feafon *.

If it be afked, why the nightingales are all this time mute? the anfwer is, that the fame filence is experienced in many other birds, and this very mutenefs is, in part the caufe why the bird is not attended to in winter.

I must now ask those who contend for the migration of a nightingale, what is to be its inducement for crossing from the continent to us? a swallow, indeed, may want flies in winter, if it stays in England; but a nightingale is just as well supplied with infects on the continent, as it can be with us after its passage +. I must also ask, in what other part of

* I find they have also been seen in France during the winter. See a treatife, intilled, Aëdologue, Paris 1751. p. 23.

+ I have omitted the mention of a more minute proof, that this bird cannot migrate from the continent, from the having kept them for fome years in a cage, and having been very attentive to their fong.

Kircher (in his Musurgia) hath given us the nightingale's notes in musical characters, from which it appears that the fong of a German nightingale differs very materially from that of an English one : now, if there was a communication by migration between the continent and England, the fong of these birds would not fo materially differ, as I may, perhaps, shew, by fome experiments I have made, in relation to the notes of birds.

I have before mentioned, that Mr. Fletcher, who was embaffador from England to Ruffia in the time of Queen Elizabeth,

[3°4]

the world this bird is feen during the winter? must it migrate to Senegal with the fwallow?

I am perfuaded likewife, that the cuckow never migrates from this ifland any more than the nightingale: this bird is either probably torpid in the winter, or otherwife is miftaken for one of the fmaller kind of hawks*; which it would be likewife in the fpring, was it not for its very particular note at that time, and which only lafts during courtfhip, as it does with the quail.

If there is fine weather in February, this bird fometimes makes this fort of call to its mate, whilft it is fuppofed to continue ftill on the continent.

An inftance is mentioned by Mr. Bradley +, of not only a fingle cuckow, but feveral, which were heard in Lincolnfhire, during the month of February; and that able naturalift Mr. Pennant informs me, another was heard near Hatcham in Shropfhire, on the 4th of February in the prefent year \ddagger .

observed that the song of the Russian nightingale differed from that of the English.

* Mr. Hunter, F. R. S. informs me, that he hath feen cuckows in the ifland of Belleisse during the winter, which is not fituated fo much to the fouthward, as to make it improbable that they may equally continue with us.

+ Works of Nature, p. 77.

[‡] Mr. Pennant received this account from Mr. Plimly, of Longnor in Shropfhire.

Thus likewife Mr. Edwards informs us, that the fea fowls near the Needles, which are commonly fuppofed to migrate in winter, appear upon the weather's being very mild. Effays, p. 197. It is amazing how much the being interested to discover particular objects contributes to our readily distinguishing them.

I remember the being much furprized that a greyheaded game-keeper always faw the partridge on the ground before they rofe, when I could not do the fame. He told me, however, that the reafon was, I lived in a time when the fhooter had no occasion to give himfelf that trouble.

He then further explained himfelf, by faying, that when he was young, no one ever thought of aiming at a bird when on the wing, and confequently they were obliged to fee the game before it was fprung. He added, that from this neceffity he could not only diftinguish partridges, but snipes and woodcocks, on the ground.

Another inftance of the fame kind, is the great readinefs with which a perfon, who is fond of courfing, finds a hare fitting in her form: those, however, who are not interested about such sport, can scarcely see the hare, when it is under their nose, and pointed out to them.

But more apparent objects escape our notice, when we are not interested about them.

Afk any one, who hath not a botanical turn, what he hath feen in paffing through a rich meadow, at the time it is most enamelled with plants in flower; and he will tell you, that he hath observed nothing but grass and daiss. If most gardeners even are in like manner asked whether the flowers of a bean grow on every fide of the stalk, they will suppose that they do, Vol. LXII. R r whereas whereas they, in reality, are only to be found on one fide.

The mouths of flounders are often turned different ways, which one would think could not well escape the observation of the London fishmongers; yet, upon asking several of them whether they had attended to this particular, I found they had not, till I shewed them the proof in their own shops.

A fifhmonger, however, knows immediately whether a fifh is in good eating order or not, on the first inspection; because this is a circumstance which interests him.

I fhall, however, by no means fupprefs two arguments in favour of migration, which feem to require the fulleft anfwer that can be given to them.

The first is, that there are certain birds, which appear during the winter, but disappear during the fummer; and it may be asked, where such birds can be supposed to breed, if they do not migrate from this island.

These birds are in number four, viz. the snipe, woodcock, redwing, and sieldsare.

As for the fnipe, I have a very fhort answer to give to the objection, as far as it relates to this bird; because it constantly breeds in the fens of Lincolnshire, Wolmar forest, and Bodmyn downs; it is therefore highly probable, that it does the same in almost every county of England.

1 muft own, however, that, till within these few years, I conceived the neft of a fnipe was as rarely feen in England, as that of a woodcock or fieldfare; and that able ornithologist Mr. Edwards supposes this to be be the fact, in the late publication of his ingenious Effays on Natural Hiftory *.

Woodcocks likewife are known to build in fome parts of England every year; but, as the inftances are commonly those of a fingle neft, I would by no means pretend to draw the fame proof against the fummer migration of this bird, as in the former case of the fnipe.

I will most readily admit, that these accidental facts are rather to be accounted for, perhaps, from the whimfy or filliness of a few birds, which occafions their laying their eggs in a place where they are easily discovered, and contrary to what is usual with the bulk of the species.

I remember to have feen a duck's neft once-on the top of a pollard willow, near the decoy in St. James's Park; it would not be, however, fair to infer from fuch an inftance, that all ducks would pitch upon the fame very improper fituation for a neft, upon which it is difficult to conceive how a webfooted bird could fettle.

Some filly birds likewife now and then choofe a place for building, which cannot escape the observation of either man or beaft, as he passes by.

I therefore fuppose that the few proofs of woodcocks nests having been found in England, arise either from one or other of these two causes, and all which they seem to prove is, that our climate in summer is not absolutely improper for them.

It is to be observed, however, that Mr. Catefby confiders such instances as of equal force against the migration of the woodcock, as of the fnipe *. Willughby alfo fays, that Mr. Jeffop faw young woodcocks fold at Sheffield (which rather implies a certain number being brought to market), and that others had observed the fame elsewhere +.

We are, indeed, informed by Scopoli ‡, that they breed conftantly in Carniola, which is confiderably to the fouthward of any part of England : our country is therefore certainly not too hot for them.

Woodcocks appear and difappear almost exactly about the fame time in every part of Europe, and perhaps Africa ||: heat and cold, therefore, feem not to have any operation whatfoever with regard to the fuppofed migration of this bird.

But it may be faid, what fignifies proving the probability of woodcocks breeding in England, if it is not a known fact that they do fo?

To this it fhould feem there are feveral anfwers, as it is equally incumbent upon these who contend for migration, to shew that these birds were ever seen on fuch passage.

Another answer is, ask ninety-nine people out of a hundred, whether snipes ever make a nest in Enggland; and they will immediately fay, that they do not; so little are facts or observations of this fort attended to.

But I shall now endeavour to give some other reaions why woodcocks may not only continue with us

* Phil. Tranf. abr. Vol. II. p. 889.

+ B. iii. c. 1.

1 Ornith. Leipfig, 1769.

Shaw's Trav. Phyl. Obf. ch. ji.

during

during the fummer, but also breed in large tracts of wood or bog, without being observed.

In the other parts of Europe, all birds almost are confidered as game, or, at least, are eaten as wholefome food, Ray therefore mentions, that hawks and owls are fold by the poulterers at Rome; every fort of fmall bird alfo is equally the foreign fowler's object *.

An Englishman does not confider, on the other hand, perhaps twelve kinds of birds worthy his attention, or expence of powder, none of which are ever shot in our woods during the summer, nor are birds then disturbed by felling either coppice or timber.

But it will be faid, why are not woodcocks fometimes feen, however, as they may be fuppoled to leave their cover in fearch of food?

To this I anfwer, that woodcocks fleep always in the daytime, whilft with us in the winter, and feed only during the night +. Whenever a woodcock, therefore, is flufhed, he is roufed from his fleep by the fpaniel or fportfinan, and then takes wing, becaufe there are no leaves on the trees to conceal the bird.

Whoever hath looked attentively at a woodcock's eye, must fee that, from the appearance of it, the

* In one of Boccace's Novels, a lover, who lives at Florence, dreffes a falcon for the dinner of his miftrefs. Giorna a V. Novel. IX.

+ Almost all the wild fowl of the duck kind also fleep in the daytime, and feed at night.

figh t

[310]

fight must be more calculated to diffinguish objects by night than by day *.

The fact therefore is notorious to those who cut glades in their woods, and fix nets for catching these birds, that they never ftir but as it begins to be dark, after which they return again by day-break, when their fight even then is fo indifferent, that they ftrike against the net, and thus become entangled.

No one with us ever thinks of fixing or attending fuch nets in fummer for woodcocks, becaufe it is not then fuppofed that there is any fuch bird in the ifland; if they tried this experiment, however, I must own that I believe they would have fport +.

Mr. Reinhold Forster, F. R. S. who is an able naturalist, informs me, that the fowlers in the neighbourhood of Dantzick kill many woodcocks about St. John's day (or Midsummer), in the following man-

* I conceive alfo, it is from the eyes looking fo dull; that this bird is generally confidered as being fo foolifh: hence the Africans call the woodcock *hammar el hadgel*, or the partridge's afs. Shaw's Phyf. Obf. ch. ii.

+ I would afk those who will probably laugh at the very idea of such sport (which I do not, however, absolutely insure), whether, if I was to send them to any part of the British coast to catch the true anchovy, or tunny fish, they would not suppose equally that it was a fool's errand.

Notwithstanding, however, this incredulity, I can produce the authority of both Ray (Syn. Pifc. p. 107.) and Mr. Pennant (Brit. Zool. ill. p. 34. 36.), that the true anchovy is caught in the fea not far from Chester, and the tunny fish on the coast of Argyleshire, together with the herrings, where they are called mackrel flure.

Is it not amazing, however, that a fifh of fuch a fize as the tunny fhould never have been heard of, even by the Scotch naturalift Sir Robert Sibbald ?

ner,

ner, and that they continue to do fo till the month of August.

They wait on the fide of fome of the extensive woods in that neighbourhood, before day-break, for the return of the woodcock from his feeding in the night-time, and always depend upon having a very good chance of thus fhooting many of them.

The Dantzickers, however, might be employed the whole fummer near theie woods in the daytime, without ever feeing fuch a bird; and it feems therefore not improbable, that it arifes from our not waiting for them at twilight or day-break, that they are never obferved by Englifhmen in the fummer. If this bird fhould, however, be feen in the night, it is immediately fuppofed to be an owl, which a woodcock does not differ much from in its flight.

To these reasons for woodcocks not being obferved, it may be added, that the bird is believed to be absolutely mute, and confequently, never discovers itself by its call.

If it be ftill contended, that the neft or young must fometimes be ftumbled upon, though in the centre of extensive woods, or large bogs, the fiskin (or aberdavine *) is a much more extraordinary inftance of concealing its neft and young.

The plumage of this bird is rather bright than otherwife; and the fong, though not very pleafing, yet is very audible, both which circumftances should difcover it at all times; yet Kramer + informs us, that, though immense numbers breed annually on

† Elenchus Animalium per Austriam, p. 261. Viennæ, 1756.

^{*} Brit. Zool. p. 309.

the banks of the Danube, no one ever observed the nest.

This bird is rather uncommon in England; fo that if 1 afk when the neft was ever found within the verge of the ifland, it may be confidered as rather an unfair challenge.

There is another bird, however, called a redpoll^{**}, which is taken in numbers during the Michaelmas and March flights by the London bird-catchers, whofe neft, I believe, was never difeovered in England, though I have feen them in pairs during the fummer, both in the mountainous parts of Wales and highlands of Scotland 4.

But I shall now mention another proof that woodcocks breed in England.

The Reverend Mr. White, of Selborn, who is not only a well-read naturalift, but an active fportfman, informs me, that he hath frequently killed woodcocks in March, which, upon being opened, had the rudiments of eggs in them, and that it is utual at that time to fluth them in pairs. Willughby alfo obferves the fame ‡.

This bird, therefore, certainly pairs before its fuppofed migration; and can it be corceived that this first union (which birds in a wild flate fo faithfully adhere to) ||, fhould take place before they

* Brit. Zool. p. 312.

+ This elegant little bird is very common in Hudson's Bay, where it feeds chiefly on the birch trees; which being more common in the northern than fouthern parts of Great Britain, may account for the bird's being more often feen northward.

1 B. III. c. i.

It is believed that no mule-bird was ever feen in a wild fare, notwithstanding M. de Buffon suspects many an intrigue fraverse traverse oceans, and when they cannot as yet have pitched upon a proper place for concealing their neft and neftlings?

Let us examine if this intercourse before migration takes place in other birds, which are supposed to cross wide extents of sea : and a quail affords such proof.

I have been prefent when these birds have been caught in the spring, which always turn out to be males, and are enticed to the nets by the call of the hen; quails therefore pair after they appear in England.

But I shall now confider the other two instances of birds which are seen with us in the winter, and are not observed in the summer; I mean, the fields are and redwing.

And first, let us examine, where these birds are actually known to breed: the northern naturalists fay, in Sweden; Klein, in the neighbourhood of Dantzick, which is only in lat. 54° 30' *; and Willughby, in Bohemia.

in the receffes of the woods (Hift. Nat. des Oifeaux, tom. I.) fuch irregular intercourfe is only obferved in cages and aviaries, where birds are not only confined, but pampered with food.

* See Klein, de Avibus Erraticis, p. 178. Klein, however, cites Zornius, who lived in the fame part of Germany, and who afferts that the *turdus Iliacus* (or redwing) leaves those parts in the fpring. The circumstance therefore of the redwing's breeding in numbers (*per multitudines*) had escaped the notice of Zornius, though he hath written a differtation on this question.

Is it at all furprizing, after this, that fuch discoveries, if made at all, fhould not be commonly heard of ?

Vol. LXII. S

As they therefore build their nefts in more Southern parts of Europe, there is certainly no natural impoffibility of their doing fo with us, though, I must own, I never yet heard but of one instance, which was a fieldfare's neft found near Paddington *.

I cannot, however, but think it is only from want of obfervation, that more of fuch nefts have not been difcovered, which are only looked after by very young children; and the chief object is the eggs, or neftlings, not the bird which lays them +.

The plumage therefore and flight of the fieldfare or redwing being neither of them very remarkable, it is not at all improbable they may remain in fummer, without being attended to; and particularly the redwing, which fcarcely differs at all in appearance from other thruscass. Thus the cough is by no means peculiar to Cornwall, as is commonly supposed, but is mistaken for the jackdaw, or rook.

But it may be faid, that these birds fly in flocks during the winter, and if they remain here during the fummer, we should see them equally congregate.

I have not before referred to Klein, who hath written a very able treatife, in which he argues against the possibility of migration in birds; because, though I should be very happy to support my poor opinion by his authority, yet I thought it right neither to repeat his facts, or arguments.

* See also Harl. Misc. Vol. II. p. 561.

+ Many birds also build in places of fuch difficult access, that boys cannot climb to; birds nesting is confined almost entirely to hedges, and low shrubs. This circumstance, however, is by no means peculiar to the fieldfare and redwing; most of the hardbilled finging birds do the fame in winter, but separate in summer, as it is indeed necessary all birds should during the time of breeding.

I shall now confider another argument in favour of migration, which I do not know hath been ever infisted upon by those writers who have contended for it, and which at first appearance seems to carry great weight with it.

There are certain birds, which are fuppofed to vifit this island only at diftant intervals of years; the Bohemian chatterer and crofs-bill * (for example) once perhaps in twenty.

The fact is not diffuted, that fuch birds are not commonly obferved in particular fpots from year to year; but this may arife from two caufes, either a partial migration within the verge of our ifland, or perhaps more frequently from want of a ready knowledge of birds on the wing, when they happen to be feen indeed, but cannot be examined.

I never have disputed such a partial migration; and indeed I have received a most irrefragable proof of fuch a flitting, from the Rev. Mr. White of Selborn in Hampshire, whose accurate observations I have before had occasion to argue from.

* This bird changes the colour of its plumage at different feafons of the year, which is fometimes red.

The first account we have of their being feen, is in the Ph. Tr. abr. Vol. V. p. 33. where Mr. Edward Lhwyd fuspects them to be Virginia nightingales, from their feathers being red, and had no difficulty of at once inpposing that they had croffed the Atlantic. The rock (or ring-ouzel) hath always hitherto been confidered as frequenting only the more mountainous parts of this ifland: Mr. White, however, informs me that there is a regular migration of thefe birds, which flock in numbers, and regularly vifit the neighbourhood of Selborn, in Hampfhire *.

I therefore have little doubt but that they equally appear in others of our Southern counties; though it eleapes common obfervation, as they bear a fort of general refemblance to the black-bird, at least to the hen of that species.

I own alfo, that I always conceived the Bohemian chatterer was not observed in Great Britain but at very distant intervals of years, and then perhaps only a fingle bird, whereas Dr. Ramsey (profession of natural history at Edinburgh) informs Mr. Pennant, that flocks of these birds appear constantly every year in the neighbourhood of that city +.

As for crofs-bills, they are feen more and more in different parts of England, fince there have been fo many plantations of firs: this bird is remarkably fond of the feeds of thefe trees, and therefore changes its place to those parts where it can procure the greatest plenty of fuch food ‡.

* See alfo Br. Zool. Ill. p. 56.

+ These birds are faid to be particularly fond of the berries of the mountain-ash, which is an uncommon tree in the Southern parts of Great Britain, but by no means so in the North.

[‡] This bird fhould alfo, for the fame reafon, be found from year to year in the cyder counties, if it was true (as is commonly fuppofed) that he is particularly fond of the kernels of

This

This flitting therefore by no means amounts to a total and periodical migration over feas, but is no more than what is experienced with regard to feveral birds.

For example, the British Zoology informs us *, that, at an average, 4000 dozen of larks are fent up from the neighbourhood of Dunstable, to supply the London markets; nor do I hear, upon inquiry, that there is any complaint of the numbers decreafing from year to year, notwithstanding this great confumption.

I should not suppose that 50 dozen of skylarks are caught in any other county of England; and it should therefore seem that the larks from the more adjacent parts croud in to supply the vacuum occasioned by the London Epicures, which may be the cause possibly of a partial migration throughout the whole island.

I begin now to approach to fomething like a conclufion of this (I fear) tedious differtation: I think, however, that I fhould not omit what appears to me at leaft as a demonstration, that one bird, which is commonly fupposed to migrate across feas, cannot possibly do fo.

apples, which it is conceived he can instantly extract with his very fingular bill.

Mr. Tunstall, F. R. S. however, at my defire, once placed an apple in the cage of a cross-bill, which he had kept for some time in his very valuable and capital collection of live birds: upon examining the apple a fortnight afterwards, it remained untouched.

* P. 235.

A landrail

A landrail *, when put up by the fhooter, never flies 100 yards; its motion is exceflively flow, whilft the legs hang down like those of the water fowls which have not web feet, and which are known never to take longer flights.

This bird is not very common with us in England, but is exceffively fo in Ireland, where they are called corn-creaks.

Now those who contend that the landrail, because it happens to disappear in winter, must migrate across oceans, are reduced to the following dilemma.

They must first either suppose that it reaches Ireland periodically from America; which is impossible, not only because the passage of the Atlantic includes fo many degrees of longitude, but because there is no fuch bird in that part of the globe.

If the landrail therefore migrates from the continent of Europe to Ireland, which it must otherwife do, the neceffary confequence is, that many must pass over England in their way Westward to Ireland; and why do not more of these birds continue with us, but, on the contrary, immediately proceed across the St. George's channel?

Whence fhould it arife alfo, if they pafs over this ifland periodically in the fpring and autumn, that they are never obferved in fuch paffage, as I have already ftated their rate in flying to be exceffively flow; to which I may add, that I never faw them rife to the height of twenty yards from the ground, nor indeed exceed the pitch of a quail.

* Br. Zool. p. 387,

I have

I have now fubmitted the best answers that have occurred, not only to the general arguments for the migration of birds across oceans, but also to the particular facts, which are relied upon as actual proofs of fuch a regular and periodical passage.

Though I may be poffibly miftaken in many of the conjectures I have made, yet I think I cannot be confuted but by new facts, and to fuch fresh evidence, properly authenticated, I shall most readily give up every point, which I have from present conviction been contending for.

I may then perhaps also flatter myself, that the having expressed my doubts with regard to the proofs hitherto relied upon, in support of migration, may have contributed to such new, and more accurate observations.

It is to be wished, however, that these more convincing and decifive facts may be received from islanders (the more distant from any land the better*) and not from the inhabitants of a continent; as it does not seem to be a fair inference, because certain birds leave certain spots at particular times, that they therefore migrate across a wide extent of sea.

For example, storks disappear in Holland during the winter, and they have not a very wide tract of sea between them and England; yet this bird never frequents our coasts.

* I would particularly propose the islands of Madera and St. Helena; to these, I would also add the island of Ascension (had it any inhabitants), as likewise Juan Fernandez, for the Pacifick ocean. The ftork, however, may be truely confidered as a bird of paffage, by the inhabitants of those parts of Europe (wherever fituated) to which it may be fupposed to resort during the winter, and where it is not seen during the fummer.

I am, dear Sir,

Your most faithful,

humble fervant,

Daines Barrington.

P. S.

SINCE I fent to you my very long letter on the migration of birds, I have had an opportunity of examining the " Planches Enluminées," which are faid to be published under M. de Buffon's inspection, and which feem to afford a demonstration of M. Adanfon's inaccuracy in fuppofing either the roller, or fwallows, which he caught in his fhip, near the coaft of Senegal, to be the fame with those of Europe.

In the 8th of these plates, there is a coloured figure of a bird, called le rollier d'Angola, which agrees exactly with M. Adanfon's defcription *; but he trufted too much to his memory, when he pronounced it to be the fame with the Garrulus Argentoratenfis of Willughby, and therefore supposed it to be on its paffage to Europe.

This bird hath, indeed, in many respects, a very ftrong refemblance to the common roller of Europe, which is reprefented also in the Planches Enluminées, plate 486; but it differs most materially in the length of the two exterior feathers of the tail, as well as in the colour of the neck, which in the African roller is of a most bright green, and in the European of rather a dull blue.

In the 310th plate, there is likewife a coloured representation of the "Hirondelle a ventre roux du "Senegal," which specimen was possibly furnished by Monf. Adanfon himfelf.

* Voyage au Senegal, p. 15. There is also another African bird, represented in the " Planches Enluminées," which might very eafily, on a hafty infpection, be miftaken for the Garrulus Argentoratenfis, viz. the Guepier a longue queue du Senegal. Pl. Enl. p. 314.

The roller of Angola is alfo engraved by Briffon, T. ii. Pl. 7. Vol. LXII,

Tt

It

It very much refembles the European fwallow, but the tail differs, as the forks (in the Senegal fpecimen) taper from the top of the two exterior feathers to the bottom, at three regular divisions, whereas in the European they are nearly of the fame width throughout.

The convincing proof, however, that the "Hi-"rondelle a ventre roux du Senegal" differs from our chimney fwallow is, that the rump is entirely covered with a bright orange or chefnut, which in the European fwallow "is of a very lovely but dark " purplifh blue colour *."

Having lately looked into Ariftotle's Natural Hiftory, with regard to the cuckow, I take this opportunity alfo of enlarging on the doubts I have thrown out, in relation to the prevailing notion of this bird's neftlings being hatched and fed by fofter parents.

I find that this most general opinion takes its rife from what is faid by this father of natural history, in his ninth book, and twenty-ninth chapter.

Aristotle there afferts, that the cuckow does not build a neft itself, but makes use most commonly of those of the wood-pigeon, hedge-sparrow, lark, (which he adds are on the ground) as well as that of the $\chi\lambda\omega\mu\mu$; +, which is in trees.

Now, if we take the whole of this account together, it is certainly not to be depended upon; for the wood-pigeon ‡ and hedge-fparrow do not build upon the ground, and no one ever pretended to have

* See Willughby, p. 312.

+ The $\chi\lambda\omega\omega_i$; is rendered *luteola*; but, as there is no defcription, it is difficult to fay what bird Aristotle here alludes to; Zinanni supposes it to be the greenfinch.

t The wood-pigeon, from its fize, feems to be the only bird which is capable of hatching, or feeding, the young cuctound found a cuckow's egg in the neft of a lark, which, indeed, is fo placed.

I have before obferved, that the witneffes often vary with regard to the bird in which the cuckow's egg is deposited *; and Aristotle himself, in the feventh chapter of his fixth book, confines the fosterparents to the wood-pigeon and hedge-sparrow, but chiefly the former.

If the age + of Aristotle is confidered, when he began to collect the materials for his Natural History, by the encouragement of Alexander after his conquests in India ‡, it is highly improbable he should have written from his own observations. He therefore seems to have hastily put down the accounts of the persons who brought him the different specimens from most parts of the then known world.

Inaccurate, however, and contradictory as thefe reports often turn out, it was the best compilation which the ancients could have recourse to; and Pliny kow; yet, if it is recollected that this bird lives on feeds, it is probable that the cuckow, whose nourifhment is infects, would either be foon starved, or incapable of digesting what was brought by the foster-parent. This objection is equally appli-

cable to the χλωρις, if it is our greenfinch. * Thus Linnæus fuppofes it (in the Fauna Suecica) to be the white wagtail, which bird builds in the banks of rivers, or roofs of houfes, (See Zinanni, p. 51.) where it is believed no young cuckow was ever found.

+ He did not leave the school of Plato till the age of thirtyeight (or, as some fay, forty); after which, some years passed before he became Alexander's preceptor, who was then but fourteen: nor could he have written his Natural History, probably, till twelve years after this, as Pliny states that specimens were fent to him by Alexander, from his conquests in India. Aristotle therefore must have been nearly fixty, when he began this great work, and consequently must have described from the observations of others.

‡ Pliny, L. viii. c. 16.

there-

therefore profeffes only to abridge him, in which he often does not do juffice to the original.

Whatever was afferted by Aristotle, is well known to have been most implicitly believed, till the last century; and I am convinced that many of the learned in Europe would, before that time, not have credited their own eyefight against what he had delivered.

There cannot be a ftronger proof that the general notion about the cuckow arifes from what is laid down by Ariftotle, than the chapter which immediately follows, as it relates to the goatfucker, and ftates that this bird fucks the teats of that animal.

From this circumstance, the goatfucker hath obtained a fimilar name in most languages, though it is believed no one (who thinks at all about matters of this fort) continues to believe that this bird fucks the goat *, any more than the hedgehog does the cow.

I beg leave, however, to explain myfelf, that I give thefe additional reafons only for my doubting with regard to this most prevailing opinion; because I am truly fensible that many things happen in nature, which contradict all arguments from analogy, and I am perfuaded, therefore, that the first perfor who gave an account of the flying fish, was not credited by any one, though the existence of this animal is not now to be disputed.

All that I mean to contend for is, that the inftances of fuch extraordinary peculiarities in animals, should be proportionably well attefted, in all the neceffary circumftances.

I must own, for example, that nothing short of the following particulars will thoroughly fatisfy me on this head.

* See Zinanni p. 95. who took great pains to detect this ulgar error.

'The hedge-fparrow's neft must be found with the proper eggs in it, which should be destroyed by the cuckow, at the time she introduces her single egg *.

The neft should then be examined at a proper distance from day to day, during the hedge-sparrow's incubation, as also the motions of the foster parent attended to, particularly in feeding the young cuckow, till it is able to shift for itself.

As I have little doubt that the last mentioned circumstance will appear decifive to many, without the others which I have required, it may be proper to give my reasons, why I cannot confider it alone, as fufficient.

There is fomething in the cry of a neftling for food, which affects all kinds of birds, almost as much as that of an infant, for the fame purpole, excites the compassion of every human hearer +.

I have taken four young ones from a hen fkylark, and placed in their room five neftling nightingales, as well as five wrens, the greater part of which were reared by the foster parent.

It can hardly in this experiment be contended, that the fkylark miftook them for her own neftlings, be-

* I could also wish that the following experiment was tried. When a hedge-sparrow hath laid all her eggs, a fingle one of any other bird, as large as a cuckow, might be introduced, after which if either the neft was deferted, or the egg too large to be hatched, it would afford a flrong prefumption against this prevailing opinion. I must here also take notice, that Mr. Hunter, F. R. S. who hath diffected hen cuckows, informs me that they are not incapacitated from hatching their eggs, as hath been supposed by fome ornithologists.

† I am perfuaded that a cuckow is oftener an orphan, than any other neftling, becaufe, from the curiofity which prevails with regard to this bird, the parents are eternally fhot. caufe they differed greatly, not only in number and fize, but in their habits, for nightingales and wrens perch, which a fkylark is almost incapable of, though, by great affiduity, fhe at last taught herself the proper equilibre of the body.

I have likewife been witnefs of the following experiment: two robins hatched five young ones in a breeding cage, to which five others were added, and the old birds brought up the whole number, making no diffunction between them.

The Aëdologie alfo mentions (which is a very fensible treatife on the nightingale *) that neftlings of all forts may be reared in the fame manner, by introducing them to a caged bird, which is fupplied with the proper food.

Not only old birds, however, attend to this cry of diftrefs from neftlings, but young ones also which are able to fhift for themselves.

I have feen a chicken, not above two months old, take as much care of younger chickens, as the parent would have fhewn to them which they had loft, not only by foratching to procure them food, but by covering them with her wings; and I have little doubt but that fhe would have done the fame by young ducks.

I have likewife been witnefs of neftling thrushes of a later brood, being fed by a young bird which was hatched earlier, and which indeed rather overcrammed the orphans intrusted to her care; if the bird however erred in judgement, she was certainly not deficient in tendernes, which I am perfuaded she would have equally extended to a neftling cuckow.

* Paris, 1751, or 1771.